

## GUIDE for BLIND EVALUATION of ARTICLES

Title

Please evaluate the following aspects:

### FORM AND STRUCTURE

1. Title:

Verify if it fits the content of the work and if the length is adequate

2. Abstract:

It must contain: Brief introduction, objective or purpose, methos, findings and main conclusions; with a máximo length of 200 words.

3. Key words:

They must reflect the main content of the work, and facilitate electronic consultation

4. Internal coherence:

- a) Examine the relationship between objectives, methodology, results and conclusions.
  - b) verify the organization of sections and sub-sections. The titles of the sections and sub-sections must be related to the content and logical sequence of the work.
- Avoid excessive use of sub-sections

5. Cited bibliography:

Relevant and updated. Only the cited bibliography should appear in the references

6. Notes:

Only those that contribute to a better understanding of the text should be included, avoiding the excessive use of them

## CONTENT

Please rate this article according to each of the following criteria:

|                    |                |
|--------------------|----------------|
| <b>Originality</b> | Excellent..... |
|                    | Very Good..... |
|                    | Good.....      |
|                    | Fair.....      |
|                    | Poor.....      |

|                                |                |
|--------------------------------|----------------|
| <b>Theoretical consistency</b> | Excellent..... |
|                                | Very Good..... |
|                                | Good.....      |
|                                | Fair.....      |
|                                | Poor.....      |

|                                 |                |
|---------------------------------|----------------|
| <b>Contribution to progress</b> | Excellent..... |
|                                 | Very Good..... |
|                                 | Good.....      |
|                                 | Fair.....      |
|                                 | Poor.....      |

|                       |                |
|-----------------------|----------------|
| <b>Use of sources</b> | Excellent..... |
|                       | Very Good..... |
|                       | Good.....      |
|                       | Fair.....      |
|                       | Poor.....      |

|                                   |                |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| <b>Redaction</b> (clarity, style) | Excellent..... |
|                                   | Very Good..... |
|                                   | Good.....      |
|                                   | Fair.....      |
|                                   | Poor.....      |

**Personal rating**

**I think the article should be:**

- Published in its current state.....
- Modified.....
- Not published.....
- Sent to another publication.....

**Suggested modifications**

**Additional comment**