

# ON $\lambda$ -CLOSURE SPACES

*Miguel Caldas*<sup>1</sup>      *Erdal Ekici*<sup>2</sup>

*Saeid Jafari*<sup>3</sup>

## **Abstract**

*In this paper, we show that a pointwise  $\lambda$ -symmetric  $\lambda$ -isotonic  $\lambda$ -closure function is uniquely determined by the pairs of sets it separates. We then show that when the  $\lambda$ -closure function of the domain is  $\lambda$ -isotonic and the  $\lambda$ -closure function of the codomain is  $\lambda$ -isotonic and pointwise- $\lambda$ -symmetric, functions which separate only those pairs of sets which are already separated are  $\lambda$ -continuous.*

**Key words:** *pointwise  $\lambda$ -closed sets,  $\lambda$ -closure function,  $\lambda$ -continuous functions.*

<sup>1</sup> *Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Universidade Federal Fluminense. Brasil*

<sup>2</sup> *Department of Mathematics, Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University. Turkey*

<sup>3</sup> *College of Vestsjaelland South. Denmark*

# 1 Introduction

Throughout the paper  $(X, \tau)$  (or simply  $X$ ) will always denote a topological space. For a subset  $A$  of  $X$ , the closure, interior and complement of  $A$  in  $X$  are denoted by  $Cl(A)$ ,  $Int(A)$  and  $X \setminus A$ , respectively. By  $\lambda O(X, \tau)$  and  $\lambda C(X, \tau)$  we denote the collection of all  $\lambda$ -open sets and the collection of all  $\lambda$ -closed sets of  $(X, \tau)$ , respectively. Let  $B$  be a subset of a space  $(X, \tau)$ .  $B$  is a  $\lambda$ -set [2] if  $B = B^\Lambda$ , where:  $B^\Lambda = \bigcap \{U \mid U \supset B, U \in \tau\}$ . A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $\lambda$ -closed [1] if  $A = B \cap C$ , where  $B$  is a  $\lambda$ -set and  $C$  is a closed set.  $A$  is  $\lambda$ -open if  $X \setminus A$  is  $\Lambda$ -closed. The intersection of all  $\lambda$ -closed sets containing  $A$  is called the  $\lambda$ -preclosure of  $A$  and is denoted by  $Cl_\lambda(A)$ .

**Definition 1.** (1) A generalized  $\lambda$ -closure space is a pair  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  consisting of a set  $X$  and a  $\lambda$ -closure function  $Cl_\lambda$ , a function from the power set of  $X$  to itself.

(2) The  $\lambda$ -closure of a subset  $A$  of  $X$ , denoted  $Cl_\lambda$ , is the image of  $A$  under  $Cl_\lambda$ .

(3) The  $\lambda$ -exterior of  $A$  is  $Ext_\lambda(A) = X \setminus Cl_\lambda(A)$ , and the  $\lambda$ -Interior of  $A$  is  $Int_\lambda(A) = X \setminus Cl_\lambda(X \setminus A)$ .

(4) We say that  $A$  is  $\lambda$ -closed if  $A = Cl_\lambda(A)$ ,  $A$  is  $\lambda$ -open if  $A = Int_\lambda(A)$  and  $N$  is a  $\lambda$ -neighborhood of  $x$  if  $x \in Int_\lambda(N)$ .

**Definition 2.** We say that a  $\lambda$ -closure function  $Cl_\lambda$  defined on  $X$  is:

(1)  $\lambda$ -grounded if  $Cl_\lambda(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ .

(2)  $\lambda$ -isotonic if  $Cl_\lambda(A) \subseteq Cl_\lambda(B)$  whenever  $A \subseteq B$ .

(3)  $\lambda$ -enlarging if  $A \subseteq Cl_\lambda(A)$  for each subset  $A$  of  $X$ .

(4)  $\lambda$ -idempotent if  $Cl_\lambda(A) = Cl_\lambda(Cl_\lambda(A))$  for each subset  $A$  of  $X$ .

(5)  $\lambda$ -sub-linear if  $Cl_\lambda(A \cup B) \subseteq Cl_\lambda(A) \cup Cl_\lambda(B)$  for all  $A, B \subseteq X$ .

**Definition 3.** (1) Subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$  are said to be  $\lambda$ -closure-separated in a generalized  $\lambda$ -closure space  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  (or simply,  $Cl_\lambda$ -separated) if  $A \cap Cl_\lambda(B) = \emptyset$  and  $Cl_\lambda(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ , or equivalently, if  $A \subseteq Ext_\lambda(B)$  and  $B \subseteq Ext_\lambda(A)$ .

(2)  $\lambda$ -Exterior points are said to be  $\lambda$ -closure-separated in a generalized  $\lambda$ -closure space  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  if for each  $A \subseteq X$  and for each  $x \in Ext_\lambda(A)$ ,  $\{x\}$  and  $A$  are  $Cl_\lambda$ -separated.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  be a generalized  $\lambda$ -closure space in which  $\lambda$ -Exterior points are  $Cl_\lambda$ -separated and let  $S$  be the pairs of  $Cl_\lambda$ -separated sets in  $X$ . Then, for each subset  $A$  of  $X$ , the  $\lambda$ -closure of  $A$  is  $Cl_\lambda(A) = \{x \in X : \{\{x\}, A\} \notin S\}$ .

*Proof.* In any generalized  $\lambda$ -closure space  $Cl_\lambda(A) \subseteq \{x \in X : \{\{x\}, A\} \notin S\}$ . Really suppose that  $y \notin \{x \in X : \{\{x\}, A\} \notin S\}$ , that is,  $\{\{y\}, A\} \in S$ . Then  $\{y\} \cap Cl_\lambda(A) = \emptyset$ , and so  $y \notin Cl_\lambda(A)$ . Suppose now that  $y \notin Cl_\lambda(A)$ . By hypothesis,  $\{\{y\}, A\} \in S$ , and hence,  $y \notin \{x \in X : \{\{x\}, A\} \notin S\}$ .

## 2 Some Fundamental Properties

**Definition 4.** A  $\lambda$ -closure function  $Cl_\lambda$  defined on a set  $X$  is said to be pointwise  $\lambda$ -symmetric when, for all  $x, y \in X$ , if  $x \in Cl_\lambda(\{y\})$ , then  $y \in Cl_\lambda(\{x\})$ .

A generalized  $\lambda$ -closure space  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  is said to be  $\lambda$ - $R_0$  when, for all  $x, y \in X$ , if  $x$  is in each  $\lambda$ -neighborhood of  $y$ , then  $y$  is in each  $\lambda$ -neighborhood of  $x$ .

**Corollary 2.1.** Let  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  a generalized  $\lambda$ -closure space in which  $\lambda$ -Exterior points are  $Cl_\lambda$ -separated. Then  $Cl_\lambda$  is pointwise  $\lambda$ -symmetric and  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  is  $\lambda$ - $R_0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\lambda$ -Exterior points are  $Cl_\lambda$ -separated in  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$ . If  $x \in Cl_\lambda(\{y\})$ , then  $\{x\}$  and  $\{y\}$  are not  $Cl_\lambda$ -separated and hence,  $y \in Cl_\lambda(\{x\})$ . Hence,  $Cl_\lambda$  is pointwise  $\lambda$ -symmetric.

Suppose that  $x$  belongs to every  $\lambda$ -neighborhood of  $y$ , that is,  $x \in M$  whenever  $y \in Int_\lambda(M)$ . Letting  $A = X \setminus M$  and rewriting contrapositively,  $y \in Cl_\lambda(A)$  whenever  $x \in A$ .

Suppose  $x \in Int_\lambda(N)$ .  $x \notin Cl_\lambda(X \setminus N)$ , so  $x$  is  $Cl_\lambda$ -separated from  $X \setminus N$ . Hence  $Cl_\lambda(\{x\}) \subseteq N$ .  $x \in \{x\}$ , so  $y \in Cl_\lambda(\{x\}) \subseteq N$ . Hence  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  is  $\lambda$ - $R_0$ .

While these three axioms are not equivalent in general, they are equivalent when the  $\lambda$ -closure function is  $\lambda$ -isotonic:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  be a generalized  $\lambda$ -closure space with  $Cl_\lambda$   $\lambda$ -isotonic. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\lambda$  Exterior points are  $Cl_\lambda$ -separated.
- (2)  $Cl_\lambda$  is pointwise  $\lambda$ -symmetric.
- (3)  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  is  $\lambda$ - $R_0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that (2) is true. Let  $A \subseteq X$ , and suppose  $x \in Ext_\lambda(A)$ . Then, as  $Cl_\lambda$  is  $\lambda$ -isotonic, for each  $y \in A$ ,  $x \notin Cl_\lambda(\{y\})$ , and hence,  $y \notin Cl_\lambda(\{x\})$ . Hence  $A \cap Cl_\lambda(\{x\}) = \emptyset$ . Hence (2) implies (1), and by the previous corollary, (1) implies (2).

Suppose now that (2) is true and let  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x$  is in every  $\lambda$ -neighborhood of  $y$ , that is,  $x \in N$  whenever  $y \in Int_\lambda(N)$ . Then  $y \in Cl_\lambda(A)$  whenever  $x \in A$ , and in particular, since  $x \in \{x\}$ ,  $y \in Cl_\lambda(\{x\})$ . Hence  $x \in Cl_\lambda(\{y\})$ . Thus if  $y \in B$ , then  $x \in Cl_\lambda(\{y\}) \subseteq Cl_\lambda(B)$ , as  $Cl_\lambda$  is  $\lambda$ -isotonic. Hence, if  $x \in Int_\lambda(C)$ , then  $y \in C$ , that is,  $y$  is in every  $\lambda$ -neighborhood of  $x$ . Hence, (2) implies (3).

Finally, suppose that  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  is  $\lambda$ - $R_0$  and suppose that  $x \in Cl_\lambda(\{y\})$ . Since  $Cl_\lambda$  is  $\lambda$ -isotonic,  $x \in Cl_\lambda(B)$  whenever  $y \in B$ , or, equivalently,  $y$  is in every  $\lambda$ -neighborhood of  $x$ . Since  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  is  $\lambda$ - $R_0$ ,  $x \in N$  whenever

$y \in \text{Int}_\lambda(N)$ . Hence,  $y \in Cl_\lambda(A)$  whenever  $x \in A$ , and in particular, since  $x \in \{x\}$ ,  $y \in Cl_\lambda(\{x\})$ . Hence (3) implies (2).

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $S$  be a set of unordered pairs of subsets of a set  $X$  such that, for all  $A, B, C \subseteq X$ ,*

- (1) *if  $A \subseteq B$  and  $\{B, C\} \in S$ , then  $\{A, C\} \in S$  and*
- (2) *if  $\{\{x\}, B\} \in S$  for each  $x \in A$  and  $\{\{y\}, A\} \in S$  for each  $y \in B$ , then  $\{A, B\} \in S$ .*

*Then there exists a unique pointwise  $\lambda$ -symmetric  $\lambda$ -isotonic  $\lambda$ -closure function  $Cl_\lambda$  on  $X$  which  $\lambda$ -closure-separates the elements of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $Cl_\lambda$  by  $Cl_\lambda(A) = \{x \in X : \{\{x\}, A\} \notin S\}$  for every  $A \subseteq X$ . If  $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$  and  $x \in Cl_\lambda(A)$ , then  $\{\{x\}, A\} \notin S$ . Hence,  $\{\{x\}, B\} \notin S$ , that is,  $x \in Cl_\lambda(B)$ . Hence  $Cl_\lambda$  is  $\lambda$ -isotonic. Also,  $x \in Cl_\lambda(\{y\})$  if and only if  $\{\{x\}, \{y\}\} \notin S$  if and only if  $y \in Cl_\lambda(\{x\})$ , and thus  $Cl_\lambda$  is pointwise  $\lambda$ -symmetric.

Suppose that  $\{A, B\} \in S$ . Then  $A \cap Cl_\lambda(B) = A \cap \{x \in X : \{\{x\}, B\} \notin S\} = \{x \in A : \{\{x\}, A\} \notin S\} = \emptyset$ . Similarly,  $Cl_\lambda(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ . Hence, if  $\{A, B\} \in S$ , then  $A$  and  $B$  are  $Cl_\lambda$ -separated.

Now suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are  $Cl_\lambda$ -separated. Then  $\{x \in A : \{\{x\}, B\} \notin S\} = A \cap Cl_\lambda(B) = \emptyset$  and  $\{x \in B : \{\{x\}, A\} \notin S\} = Cl_\lambda(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $\{\{x\}, B\} \in S$  for each  $x \in A$  and  $\{\{y\}, A\} \in S$  for each  $y \in B$ , and thus,  $\{A, B\} \in S$ .

Furthermore, many properties of  $\lambda$ -closure functions can be expressed in terms of the sets they separate:

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $S$  be the pairs of  $Cl_\lambda$ -separated sets of a generalized  $\lambda$ -closure space  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  in which  $\lambda$ Exterior points are  $\lambda$ -closure-separates. Then  $Cl_\lambda$  is*

- (1)  *$\lambda$ -grounded if and only if for all  $x \in X$   $\{\{x\}, \emptyset\} \in S$ .*
- (2)  *$\lambda$ -enlarging if and only if for all  $\{A, B\} \in S$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint.*

(3)  $\lambda$ -sub-linear if and only if  $\{A, B \cup C\} \in S$  whenever  $\{A, B\} \in S$  and  $\{A, C\} \in S$ .

Moreover, if  $Cl_\lambda$  is  $\lambda$ -enlarging and for all  $A, B \subseteq X$ ,  $\{\{x\}, A\} \notin S$  whenever  $\{\{x\}, B\} \notin S$  and  $\{\{y\}, A\} \notin S$  for each  $y \in B$ , then  $Cl_\lambda$  is  $\lambda$ -idempotent. Also, if  $Cl_\lambda$  is  $\lambda$ -isotonic and  $\lambda$ -idempotent, then  $\{\{x\}, A\} \notin S$  whenever  $\{\{x\}, B\} \notin S$  and  $\{\{y\}, A\} \notin S$  for each  $y \in B$ .

*Proof.* Recall that by Theorem 1.1,  $Cl_\lambda(A) = \{x \in X : \{\{x\}, A\} \notin S\}$  for every  $A \subseteq X$ . Suppose that for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\{\{x\}, \emptyset\} \in S$ . Then  $Cl_\lambda(\emptyset) = \{x \in X : \{\{x\}, \emptyset\} \notin S\} = \emptyset$ . Hence  $Cl_\lambda$  is  $\lambda$ -grounded. Conversely, if  $\emptyset = Cl_\lambda(\emptyset) = \{x \in X : \{\{x\}, \emptyset\} \notin S\}$ , then  $\{\{x\}, \emptyset\} \in S$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

Suppose that for all  $\{A, B\} \in S$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint. Since  $\{\{a\}, A\} \notin S$  if  $a \in A$ ,  $A \subseteq Cl_\lambda(A)$  for each  $A \subseteq X$ . Hence,  $Cl_\lambda$  is  $\lambda$ -enlarging. Conversely, suppose that  $Cl_\lambda$  is  $\lambda$ -enlarging and  $\{A, B\} \in S$ . Then  $A \cap B \subseteq Cl_\lambda(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $\{A, B \cup C\} \in S$  whenever  $\{A, B\} \in S$  and  $\{A, C\} \in S$ . Let  $x \in X$  and  $B, C \subseteq X$  such that  $\{\{x\}, B \cup C\} \notin S$ . Then  $\{\{x\}, B\} \notin S$  or  $\{\{x\}, C\} \notin S$ . Hence  $Cl_\lambda(B \cup C) \subseteq Cl_\lambda(B) \cup Cl_\lambda(C)$ , and therefore,  $Cl_\lambda$  is  $\lambda$ -sub-linear. Conversely, suppose that  $Cl_\lambda$  is  $\lambda$ -sub-linear and let  $\{A, B\}, \{A, C\} \in S$ . Then  $Cl_\lambda(B \cup C) \cap A \subseteq (Cl_\lambda(B) \cup Cl_\lambda(C)) \cap A = (Cl_\lambda(B) \cap A) \cup (Cl_\lambda(C) \cap A) = \emptyset$  and  $(B \cup C) \cap Cl_\lambda(A) = (B \cap Cl_\lambda(A)) \cup (C \cap Cl_\lambda(A)) = \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $Cl_\lambda$  is  $\lambda$ -enlarging and suppose that  $\{\{x\}, A\} \notin S$  whenever  $\{\{x\}, B\} \notin S$  and  $\{\{y\}, A\} \notin S$  for each  $y \in B$ . Then  $Cl_\lambda(Cl_\lambda(A)) \subseteq Cl_\lambda(A)$ : If  $x \in Cl_\lambda(Cl_\lambda(A))$ , then  $\{\{x\}, Cl_\lambda(A)\} \notin S$ .  $\{\{y\}, A\} \notin S$ , for each  $y \in Cl_\lambda(A)$ ; hence  $\{\{x\}, A\} \notin S$ . And since  $Cl_\lambda$  is  $\lambda$ -enlarging,  $Cl_\lambda(A) \subseteq Cl_\lambda(Cl_\lambda(A))$ . Thus  $Cl_\lambda(Cl_\lambda(A)) = Cl_\lambda(A)$ , for each  $A \subseteq X$ . Finally, suppose that  $Cl_\lambda$  is  $\lambda$ -isotonic and  $\lambda$ -idempotent. Let  $x \in X$  and  $A, B \subseteq X$  such that  $\{\{x\}, B\} \notin S$  and, for each  $y \in B$ ,  $\{\{y\}, A\} \notin S$ . Then  $x \in Cl_\lambda(B)$  and for each  $y \in B$ ,  $y \in Cl_\lambda(A)$ , that is,  $B \subseteq Cl_\lambda(A)$ . Hence,  $x \in Cl_\lambda(B) \subseteq Cl_\lambda(Cl_\lambda(A)) = Cl_\lambda(A)$ .

**Definition 5.** If  $(X, (Cl_\lambda)_X)$  and  $(Y, (Cl_\lambda)_Y)$  are generalized  $\lambda$ -closure spaces, then a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be

- (1)  $\lambda$ -closure-preserving if  $f((Cl_\lambda)_X(A)) \subseteq (Cl_\lambda)_Y(f(A))$  for each  $A \subseteq X$ .
- (2)  $\lambda$ -continuous if  $(Cl_\lambda)_X(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}((Cl_\lambda)_Y(B))$  for each  $B \subseteq Y$ .

In general, neither condition implies the other. However, we easily obtain the following result:

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $(X, (Cl_\lambda)_X)$  and  $(Y, (Cl_\lambda)_Y)$  be generalized  $\lambda$ -closure spaces and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ .

- (1) If  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -closure-preserving and  $(Cl_\lambda)_Y$  is  $\lambda$ -isotonic, then  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous.
- (2) If  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous and  $(Cl_\lambda)_X$  is  $\lambda$ -isotonic, then  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -closure-preserving.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -closure-preserving and  $(Cl_\lambda)_Y$  is  $\lambda$ -isotonic. Let  $B \subseteq Y$ .  $f((Cl_\lambda)_X(f^{-1}(B))) \subseteq (Cl_\lambda)_Y(f(f^{-1}(B))) \subseteq (Cl_\lambda)_Y(B)$  and hence,

$$(Cl_\lambda)_X(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(f((Cl_\lambda)_X(f^{-1}(B)))) \subseteq f^{-1}((Cl_\lambda)_Y(B)).$$

Suppose that  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous and  $(Cl_\lambda)_X$  is  $\lambda$ -isotonic. Let  $A \subseteq X$ .  $(Cl_\lambda)_X(A) \subseteq (Cl_\lambda)_X(f^{-1}(f(A))) \subseteq f^{-1}((Cl_\lambda)_Y(f(A)))$  and hence  $f((Cl_\lambda)_X(A)) \subseteq f(f^{-1}((Cl_\lambda)_Y(f(A)))) \subseteq (Cl_\lambda)_Y(f(A))$ .

**Definition 6.** Let  $(X, (Cl_\lambda)_X)$  and  $(Y, (Cl_\lambda)_Y)$  be generalized  $\lambda$ -closure spaces and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function. If for all  $A, B \subseteq X$ ,  $f(A)$  and  $f(B)$  are not  $(Cl_\lambda)_Y$ -separated whenever  $A$  and  $B$  are not  $(Cl_\lambda)_X$ -separated, then we say that  $f$  is non- $\lambda$ -separating.

Note that  $f$  is non- $\lambda$ -separating if and only if  $A$  and  $B$  are  $(Cl_\lambda)_X$ -separated whenever  $f(A)$  and  $f(B)$  are  $(Cl_\lambda)_Y$ -separated.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $(X, (Cl_\lambda)_X)$  and  $(Y, (Cl_\lambda)_Y)$  be generalized  $\lambda$ -closure spaces and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ .*

- (1) *If  $(Cl_\lambda)_Y$  is  $\lambda$ -isotonic and  $f$  is non- $\lambda$ -separating, then  $f^{-1}(C)$  and  $f^{-1}(D)$  are  $(Cl_\lambda)_X$ -separated whenever  $C$  and  $D$  are  $(Cl_\lambda)_Y$ -separated.*
- (2) *If  $(Cl_\lambda)_X$  is  $\lambda$ -isotonic and  $f^{-1}(C)$  and  $f^{-1}(D)$  are  $(Cl_\lambda)_X$ -separated whenever  $C$  and  $D$  are  $(Cl_\lambda)_Y$ -separated, then  $f$  is non- $\lambda$ -separating.*

*Proof.* Let  $C$  and  $D$  be  $(Cl_\lambda)_Y$ -separated subsets, where  $(Cl_\lambda)_Y$  is  $\lambda$ -isotonic. Let  $A = f^{-1}(C)$  and let  $B = f^{-1}(D)$ .  $f(A) \subseteq C$  and  $f(B) \subseteq D$  and since  $(Cl_\lambda)_Y$  is  $\lambda$ -isotonic,  $f(A)$  and  $f(B)$  are also  $(Cl_\lambda)_Y$ -separated. Hence,  $A$  and  $B$  are  $(Cl_\lambda)_X$ -separated in  $X$ .

Suppose that  $(Cl_\lambda)_X$  is  $\lambda$ -isotonic and let  $A, B \subseteq X$  such that  $C = f(A)$  and  $D = f(B)$  are  $(Cl_\lambda)_X$ -separated. Then  $f^{-1}(C)$  and  $f^{-1}(D)$  are  $(Cl_\lambda)_X$ -separated and since  $(Cl_\lambda)_X$  is  $\lambda$ -isotonic,  $A \subseteq f^{-1}(f(A)) = f^{-1}(C)$  and  $B \subseteq f^{-1}(f(B)) = f^{-1}(D)$  are  $(Cl_\lambda)_X$ -separated as well.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $(X, (Cl_\lambda)_X)$  and  $(Y, (Cl_\lambda)_Y)$  be generalized  $\lambda$ -closure spaces and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function. If  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -closure-preserving, then  $f$  is non- $\lambda$ -separating.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -closure-preserving and  $A, B \subseteq X$  are not  $(Cl_\lambda)_X$ -separated. Suppose that  $(Cl_\lambda)_X(A) \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\emptyset \neq f((Cl_\lambda)_X(A) \cap B) \subseteq f((Cl_\lambda)_X(A)) \cap f(B) \subseteq (Cl_\lambda)_Y(f(A)) \cap f(B)$ . Similarly, if  $A \cap (Cl_\lambda)_X(B) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $f(A) \cap (Cl_\lambda)_Y(f(B)) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $f(A)$  and  $f(B)$  are not  $(Cl_\lambda)_Y$ -separated.

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let  $(X, (Cl_\lambda)_X)$  and  $(Y, (Cl_\lambda)_Y)$  be generalized  $\lambda$ -closure spaces with  $(Cl_\lambda)_X$   $\lambda$ -isotonic and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . If  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous, then  $f$  is non- $\lambda$ -separating.*

*Proof.* If  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous and  $(Cl_\lambda)_X$   $\lambda$ -isotonic, then by Theorem 2.5 (2)  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -closure-preserving. Hence by Theorem 2.7,  $f$  is non- $\lambda$ -separating.



**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $(X, (Cl_\lambda)_X)$  and  $(Y, (Cl_\lambda)_Y)$  be generalized  $\lambda$ -closure spaces which  $\lambda$ -Exterior points  $(Cl_\lambda)_Y$ -separated in  $Y$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function. Then  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -closure-preserving if and only if  $f$  non- $\lambda$ -separating.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.7, if  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -closure-preserving, then  $f$  is non- $\lambda$ -separating. Suppose that  $f$  is non- $\lambda$ -separating and let  $A \subseteq X$ . If  $(Cl_\lambda)_X = \emptyset$ , then  $f((Cl_\lambda)_X(A)) = \emptyset \subseteq (Cl_\lambda)_Y(f(A))$ . Suppose  $(Cl_\lambda)_X(A) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $S_X$  and  $S_Y$  denote the pairs of  $(Cl_\lambda)_X$ -separated subsets of  $X$  and the pairs of  $(Cl_\lambda)_Y$ -separated subsets of  $Y$ , respectively. Let  $y \in f((Cl_\lambda)_X(A))$  and let  $x \in (Cl_\lambda)_X(A) \cap f^{-1}(\{y\})$ . Since  $x \in (Cl_\lambda)_X(A)$ ,  $\{\{x\}, A\} \notin S_X$  and since  $f$  non- $\lambda$ -separating,  $\{\{y\}, f(A)\} \notin S_Y$ . Since  $\lambda$ -Exterior points are  $(Cl_\lambda)_Y$ -separated,  $y \in (Cl_\lambda)_Y(f(A))$ . Thus  $f((Cl_\lambda)_X(A)) \subseteq (Cl_\lambda)_Y(f(A))$  for each  $A \subseteq X$ .

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let  $(X, (Cl_\lambda)_X)$  and  $(Y, (Cl_\lambda)_Y)$  be generalized  $\lambda$ -closure spaces with  $\lambda$ -isotonic closure functions and with  $(Cl_\lambda)_Y$  - pointwise-  $\lambda$ -symmetric and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . Then  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous if and only if  $f$  non- $\lambda$ -separating.*

*Proof.* Since  $(Cl_\lambda)_Y$  is  $\lambda$ -isotonic and pointwise- $\lambda$ -symmetric,  $\lambda$ -Exterior points are  $\lambda$ -closure separated in  $(Y, (Cl_\lambda)_Y)$  (Theorem 2.2 (1)). Since both  $\lambda$ -closure functions are  $\lambda$ -isotonic,  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -closure-preserving (Theorem 2.5) if and only if  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous. Hence, we can apply the Theorem 2.9.

### 3 $\lambda$ -Connected Generalized $\lambda$ -Closure Spaces

**Definition 7.** *Let  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  be a generalized  $\lambda$ -closure space.  $X$  is said to be  $\lambda$ -connected if  $X$  is not a union of disjoint nontrivial  $\lambda$ -closure-separated pair of sets.*

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  be a generalized  $\lambda$ -closure space with  $\lambda$ -grounded  $\lambda$ -isotonic  $\lambda$ -enlarging  $Cl_\lambda$ . Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  is  $\lambda$ -connected,
- (2)  $X$  can not be a union of nonempty disjoint  $\lambda$ -open sets.

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let  $X$  be a union of nonempty disjoint  $\lambda$ -open sets  $A$  and  $B$ . Then,  $X = A \cup B$  and this implies that  $B = X \setminus A$  and  $A$  is a  $\lambda$ -open set. Thus,  $B$  is  $\lambda$ -closed and hence  $A \cap Cl_\lambda(B) = A \cap B = \emptyset$ . By using similar way, we obtain  $Cl_\lambda(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $A$  and  $B$  are  $\lambda$ -closure-separated and hence  $X$  is not  $\lambda$ -connected. This is a contradiction.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Suppose that  $X$  is not  $\lambda$ -connected. Then  $X = A \cup B$ , where  $A, B$  are disjoint  $\lambda$ -closure-separated sets, i.e  $A \cap Cl_\lambda(B) = Cl_\lambda(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ . We have  $Cl_\lambda(B) \subset X \setminus A \subset B$ . Since  $Cl_\lambda$  is  $\lambda$ -enlarging, we obtain  $Cl_\lambda(B) = B$  and hence,  $B$  is  $\lambda$ -closed. By using  $Cl_\lambda(A) \cap B = \emptyset$  and similar way, it is obvious that  $A$  is  $\lambda$ -closed. This is a contradiction.

**Definition 8.** *Let  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  be a generalized  $\lambda$ -closure space with  $\lambda$ -grounded  $\lambda$ -isotonic  $Cl_\lambda$ . Then,  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  is called a  $T_1$ - $\lambda$ -grounded  $\lambda$ -isotonic space if  $Cl_\lambda(\{x\}) \subset \{x\}$  for all  $x \in X$ .*

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  be a generalized  $\lambda$ -closure space with  $\lambda$ -grounded  $\lambda$ -isotonic  $Cl_\lambda$ . Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  is  $\lambda$ -connected,
- (2) Any  $\lambda$ -continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is constant for all  $T_1$ - $\lambda$ -grounded  $\lambda$ -isotonic spaces  $Y = \{0, 1\}$ .

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let  $X$  be  $\lambda$ -connected. Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous and it is not constant. Then there exists a set  $U \subset X$  such that  $U = f^{-1}(\{0\})$  and  $X \setminus U = f^{-1}(\{1\})$ . Since  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous and  $Y$

is  $T_1$ - $\lambda$ -grounded  $\lambda$ -isotonic space, then we have  $Cl_\lambda(U) = Cl_\lambda(f^{-1}(\{0\})) \subset f^{-1}(Cl_\lambda\{0\}) \subset f^{-1}(\{0\}) = U$  and hence  $Cl_\lambda(U) \cap (X \setminus U) = \emptyset$ . By using similar way we have  $U \cap Cl_\lambda(X \setminus U) = \emptyset$ . This is a contradiction. Thus,  $f$  is constant.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Suppose that  $X$  is not  $\lambda$ -connected. Then there exist  $\lambda$ -closure-separated sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $U \cup V = X$ . We have  $Cl_\lambda(U) \subset U$  and  $Cl_\lambda(V) \subset V$  and  $X \setminus U \subset V$ . Since  $Cl_\lambda$  is  $\lambda$ -isotonic and  $U$  and  $V$  are  $\lambda$ -closure-separated, then  $Cl_\lambda(X \setminus U) \subset Cl_\lambda(V) \subset X \setminus U$ . If we consider the space  $(Y, Cl_\lambda)$  by  $Y = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $Cl_\lambda(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ ,  $Cl_\lambda(\{0\}) = \{0\}$ ,  $Cl_\lambda(\{1\}) = \{1\}$  and  $Cl_\lambda(Y) = Y$ , then the space  $(Y, Cl_\lambda)$  is a  $T_1$ - $\lambda$ -grounded  $\lambda$ -isotonic space. We define the function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  as  $f(U) = \{0\}$  and  $f(X \setminus U) = \{1\}$ . Let  $A \neq \emptyset$  and  $A \subset Y$ . If  $A = Y$ , then  $f^{-1}(A) = X$  and hence  $Cl_\lambda(X) = Cl_\lambda(f^{-1}(A)) \subset X = f^{-1}(A) = f^{-1}(Cl_\lambda(A))$ . If  $A = \{0\}$ , then  $f^{-1}(A) = U$  and hence  $Cl_\lambda(U) = Cl_\lambda(f^{-1}(A)) \subset U = f^{-1}(A) = f^{-1}(Cl_\lambda(A))$ . If  $A = \{1\}$ , then  $f^{-1}(A) = X \setminus U$  and hence  $Cl_\lambda(X \setminus U) = Cl_\lambda(f^{-1}(A)) \subset X \setminus U = f^{-1}(A) = f^{-1}(Cl_\lambda(A))$ . Hence,  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous. Since  $f$  is not constant, this is a contradiction.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $f : (X, Cl_\lambda) \rightarrow (Y, Cl_\lambda)$  and  $g : (Y, Cl_\lambda) \rightarrow (Z, Cl_\lambda)$  be  $\lambda$ -continuous functions. Then,  $gof : X \rightarrow Z$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  are  $\lambda$ -continuous. For all  $A \subset Z$  we have  $Cl_\lambda(gof)^{-1}(A) = Cl_\lambda(f^{-1}(g^{-1}(A))) \subset f^{-1}(Cl_\lambda(g^{-1}(A))) \subset f^{-1}(g^{-1}(Cl_\lambda(A))) = (gof)^{-1}(Cl_\lambda(A))$ . Hence,  $gof : X \rightarrow Z$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  and  $(Y, Cl_\lambda)$  be generalized  $\lambda$ -closure spaces with  $\lambda$ -grounded  $\lambda$ -isotonic  $Cl_\lambda$  and  $f : (X, Cl_\lambda) \rightarrow (Y, Cl_\lambda)$  be a  $\lambda$ -continuous function onto  $Y$ . If  $X$  is  $\lambda$ -connected, then  $Y$  is  $\lambda$ -connected.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\{0, 1\}$  is a generalized  $\lambda$ -closure spaces with  $\lambda$ -grounded  $\lambda$ -isotonic  $Cl_\lambda$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is a  $\lambda$ -continuous function. Since  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous, by Theorem 3.3,  $gof : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is

$\lambda$ -continuous. Since  $X$  is  $\lambda$ -connected,  $gof$  is constant and hence  $g$  is constant. By Theorem 3.2,  $Y$  is  $\lambda$ -connected.

**Definition 9.** Let  $(Y, Cl_\lambda)$  be a generalized  $\lambda$ -closure space with  $\lambda$ -grounded  $\lambda$ -isotonic  $Cl_\lambda$  and more than one element. A generalized  $\lambda$ -closure space  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  with  $\lambda$ -grounded  $\lambda$ -isotonic  $Cl_\lambda$  is called  $Y$ - $\lambda$ -connected if any  $\lambda$ -continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is constant.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $(Y, Cl_\lambda)$  be a generalized  $\lambda$ -closure space with  $\lambda$ -grounded  $\lambda$ -isotonic  $\lambda$ -enlarging  $Cl_\lambda$  and more than one element. Then every  $Y$ - $\lambda$ -connected generalized  $\lambda$ -closure space with  $\lambda$ -grounded  $\lambda$ -isotonic is  $\lambda$ -connected.

*Proof.* Let  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  be a  $Y$ - $\lambda$ -connected generalized  $\lambda$ -closure space with  $\lambda$ -grounded  $\lambda$ -isotonic  $Cl_\lambda$ . Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is a  $\lambda$ -continuous function, where  $\{0, 1\}$  is a  $T_1$ - $\lambda$ -grounded  $\lambda$ -isotonic space. Since  $Y$  is a generalized  $\lambda$ -closure space with  $\lambda$ -grounded  $\lambda$ -isotonic  $\lambda$ -enlarging  $Cl_\lambda$  and more than one element, then there exists a  $\lambda$ -continuous injection  $g : \{0, 1\} \rightarrow Y$ . By Theorem 3.3,  $gof : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous. Since  $X$  is  $Y$ - $\lambda$ -connected, then  $gof$  is constant. Thus,  $f$  is constant and hence, by Theorem 3.2,  $X$  is  $\lambda$ -connected.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  and  $(Y, Cl_\lambda)$  be generalized  $\lambda$ -closure spaces with  $\lambda$ -grounded  $\lambda$ -isotonic  $Cl_\lambda$  and  $f : (X, Cl_\lambda) \rightarrow (Y, Cl_\lambda)$  be a  $\lambda$ -continuous function onto  $Y$ . If  $X$  is  $Z$ - $\lambda$ -connected, then  $Y$  is  $Z$ - $\lambda$ -connected.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  is a  $\lambda$ -continuous function. Then  $gof : X \rightarrow Z$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous. Since  $X$  is  $Z$ - $\lambda$ -connected, then  $gof$  is constant. This implies that  $g$  is constant. Thus,  $Y$  is  $Z$ - $\lambda$ -connected.

**Definition 10.** A generalized  $\lambda$ -closure space  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  is strongly  $\lambda$ -connected if there is no countable collection of pairwise  $\lambda$ -closure-separated sets  $\{A_n\}$  such that  $X = \cup A_n$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** *Every strongly  $\lambda$ -connected generalized  $\lambda$ -closure space with  $\lambda$ -grounded  $\lambda$ -isotonic  $Cl_\lambda$  is  $\lambda$ -connected.*

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  and  $(Y, Cl_\lambda)$  be generalized  $\lambda$ -closure spaces with  $\lambda$ -grounded  $\lambda$ -isotonic  $Cl_\lambda$  and  $f : (X, Cl_\lambda) \rightarrow (Y, Cl_\lambda)$  be a  $\lambda$ -continuous function onto  $Y$ . If  $X$  is strongly  $\lambda$ -connected, then  $Y$  is strongly  $\lambda$ -connected.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $Y$  is not strongly  $\lambda$ -connected. Then, there exists a countable collection of pairwise  $\lambda$ -closure-separated sets  $\{A_n\}$  such that  $Y = \cup A_n$ . Since  $f^{-1}(A_n) \cap Cl_\lambda(f^{-1}(A_m)) \subset f^{-1}(A_n) \cap f^{-1}(Cl_\lambda(A_m)) = \emptyset$  for all  $n \neq m$ , then the collection  $\{f^{-1}(A_n)\}$  is pairwise  $\lambda$ -closure-separated. This is a contradiction. Hence,  $Y$  is strongly  $\lambda$ -connected.

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $(X, (Cl_\lambda)_X)$  and  $(Y, (Cl_\lambda)_Y)$  are generalized  $\lambda$ -closure spaces. Then the following are equivalent for a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ :*

- (1)  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous,
- (2)  $f^{-1}(Int_\lambda(B)) \subseteq Int_\lambda(f^{-1}(B))$  for each  $B \subseteq Y$ .

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  be a generalized  $\lambda$ -closure space with  $\lambda$ -grounded  $\lambda$ -isotonic  $Cl_\lambda$ . Then  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  is strongly  $\lambda$ -connected if and only if  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  is  $Y$ - $\lambda$ -connected for any countable  $T_1$ - $\lambda$ -grounded  $\lambda$ -isotonic space  $(Y, Cl_\lambda)$ .*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ): Let  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  be strongly  $\lambda$ -connected. Suppose that  $(X, Cl_\lambda)$  is not  $Y$ - $\lambda$ -connected for some countable  $T_1$ - $\lambda$ -grounded  $\lambda$ -isotonic space  $(Y, Cl_\lambda)$ . There exists a  $\lambda$ -continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  which is not constant and hence  $K = f(X)$  is a countable set with more than one element. For each  $y_n \in K$ , there exists  $U_n \subset X$  such that  $U_n = f^{-1}(\{y_n\})$  and hence  $Y = \cup U_n$ . Since  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous and  $Y$  is  $\lambda$ -grounded, then for each  $n \neq m$ ,  $U_n \cap Cl_\lambda(U_m) = f^{-1}(\{y_n\}) \cap$

$Cl_\lambda(f^{-1}(\{y_m\})) \subset f^{-1}(\{y_n\}) \cap f^{-1}(Cl_\lambda(\{y_m\})) \subset f^{-1}(\{y_n\}) \cap f^{-1}(\{y_m\}) = \emptyset$ . This contradicts with the strong  $\lambda$ -connectedness of  $X$ . Thus,  $X$  is  $Y$ - $\lambda$ -connected.

( $\Leftarrow$ ): Let  $X$  be  $Y$ - $\lambda$ -connected for any countable  $T_1$ - $\lambda$ -grounded  $\lambda$ -isotonic space  $(Y, Cl_\lambda)$ . Suppose that  $X$  is not strongly  $\lambda$ -connected. There exists a countable collection of pairwise  $\lambda$ -closure-separated sets  $\{U_n\}$  such that  $X = \cup U_n$ . We take the space  $(Z, Cl_\lambda)$ , where  $Z$  is the set of integers and  $Cl_\lambda : P(Z) \rightarrow P(Z)$  is defined by  $Cl_\lambda(K) = K$  for each  $K \subset Z$ . Clearly  $(Z, Cl_\lambda)$  is a countable  $T_1$ - $\lambda$ -grounded  $\lambda$ -isotonic space. Put  $U_k \in \{U_n\}$ . We define a function  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  by  $f(U_k) = \{x\}$  and  $f(X \setminus U_k) = \{y\}$  where  $x, y \in Z$  and  $x \neq y$ . Since  $Cl_\lambda(U_k) \cap U_n = \emptyset$  for all  $n \neq k$ , then  $Cl_\lambda(U_k) \cap \cup_{n \neq k} U_n = \emptyset$  and hence  $Cl_\lambda(U_k) \subset U_k$ . Let  $\emptyset \neq K \subset Z$ . If  $x, y \in K$  then  $f^{-1}(K) = X$  and  $Cl_\lambda(f^{-1}(K)) = Cl_\lambda(X) \subset X = f^{-1}(K) = f^{-1}(Cl_\lambda(K))$ . If  $x \in K$  and  $y \notin K$ , then  $f^{-1}(K) = U_k$  and  $Cl_\lambda(f^{-1}(K)) = Cl_\lambda(U_k) \subset U_k = f^{-1}(K) = f^{-1}(Cl_\lambda(K))$ . If  $y \in K$  and  $x \notin K$  then  $f^{-1}(K) = X \setminus U_k$ . Since  $Cl_\lambda(K) = K$  for each  $K \subset Z$ , then  $Int_\lambda(K) = K$  for each  $K \subset Z$ . Also,  $X \setminus U_k \subset \cup_{n \neq k} U_n \subset X \setminus Cl_\lambda(U_k) = Int_\lambda(X \setminus U_k)$ . Therefore,  $f^{-1}(Int_\lambda(K)) = X \setminus U_k = f^{-1}(K) \subset Int_\lambda(X \setminus U_k) = Int_\lambda(f^{-1}(K))$ . Hence we obtain that  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous. Since  $f$  is not constant, this is a contradiction with the  $Z$ - $\lambda$ -connectedness of  $X$ . Hence,  $X$  is strongly  $\lambda$ -connected.

## References

- [1] F. G. Arenas, J. Dontchev and M. Ganster, *On  $\lambda$ -sets and dual of generalized continuity*. Questions Answers Gen. Topology, 15 (1997) 3-13.
- [2] H. Maki, *Generalized  $\Lambda$ -sets and the associated closure operator*. The Special Issue in Commemoration of Prof. Kazusada IKEDA' Retirement, 1. Oct. 1986, 139-146.

## **Resumen**

En este artículo, demostramos que una función puntualmente  $\lambda$  simétrica,  $\lambda$ -isotónica,  $\lambda$ -clausurada es determinada únicamente por los pares de conjuntos que ella separa. Luego probamos que, cuando la función  $\lambda$ -clausurada del dominio es  $\lambda$ -isotónica y la función  $\lambda$ -clausurada del codominio es  $\lambda$ -isotónica y puntualmente  $\lambda$ -simétrica, las funciones que separan solamente aquellos pares de conjuntos que están ya separados son  $\lambda$ -continuas.

**Palabras Clave:** conjuntos puntualmente  $\lambda$ -clausurados, función  $\lambda$ -clausurada, funciones  $\lambda$ -continuas.

Miguel Caldas

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada,  
Universidade Federal Fluminense,  
Rua Mario Santos Braga, s/n  
24020-140, Niteroi, RJ Brasil.  
gmamccs@vm.uff.br

Erdal Ekici

Department of Mathematics,  
Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University,  
Terzioglu Campus, 17020 Canakkale-Turkey.  
eekici@comu.edu.tr

Saeid Jafari

College of Vestsjaelland South,  
Herrestraede 11,  
4200. Slagelse, Denmark.  
jafari@stofanet.dk